CHARLES S. CROOKHAM
ATTORNEY GENERAL

JACK L. LANDAU
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Justice Building
Salem, Oregon 97310
Telephone: (503) 378-4400

RECEIVED
OCT 07 1992
ATTORNEY GENERAL
SALEM, OREGON

October 7, 1992

Joseph H. Van Horn
P.O. Box 953
LaPine, Oregon 97739

Re: Petition for Public Records Disclosure Order;
Motor Vehicles Division Records

Dear Mr. Van Horn:

This letter is the Attorney General's order on your petition for disclosure of records under the Oregon Public Records Law, ORS 192.410 to 192.505. Your petition, which we received on October 1, asks the Attorney General to direct the Motor Vehicles Division (MVD) to disclose the name of the person who reported that you had a stroke and were not capable of driving. For the reasons that follow, we respectfully deny your petition.

In its administration of the motor vehicle laws, MVD may be called upon to determine whether "a person may be ineligible for a license because the person has a history of any physical or mental disease or disability that may impair the person's ability to safely operate a motor vehicle. . . . ." ORS 807.090(1); see also ORS 807.070(4). MVD may require any licensed driver to reestablish the person's eligibility for a license "if the division has reason to believe that the person . . . [n]o longer be able to safely operate a motor vehicle." ORS 807.340(1)(b). This belief may be triggered by letters from family, friends, neighbors or other concerned citizens.

Under ORS 192.502(3), MVD may in certain circumstances keep such reports confidential. That statute exempts from disclosure:

> Information submitted to a public body in confidence and not otherwise required by law to be submitted, where such information should reasonably be considered confidential, the public body has obliged itself in good faith not to disclose the information, and when the public interest would suffer by the disclosure[.]

We conclude that all five elements of this exemption are satisfied here. First, the individual who submitted the report did so in confidence, by requesting that the name not be mentioned. Second, that person submitted the report voluntarily. Third, because of the potential for harassment from drivers who are the subject of such reports, the information reasonably should be considered confidential. Fourth, MVD by administrative rule has obligated itself in good faith not to disclose the person's identity in such cases. OAR 735-74-010(2)(b). Finally, we believe that the public interest would suffer by disclosure. Disclosure would discourage concerned citizens from submitting good faith reports of apparently unsafe drivers, thus impairing the public's safety.[1/]

For these reasons, we conclude that the information you seek is exempt from disclosure under ORS 192.502(3). Accordingly, we respectfully deny your petition.

Sincerely,

Jack L. Landau
Deputy Attorney General

JLL:JGR:bjs/JGG0493D
c: Robin Bower, Supervisor, Driver Control Unit, Motor Vehicles Division

---

[1/] We previously have denied petitions for disclosure in similar circumstances. See, e.g., Public Records Order, July 9, 1991 (Robins), Public Records Order, May 13, 1988 (Haim); Public Records Order, April 28, 1988 (Jones).