DAN RAYFIELD
ATTORNEY GENERAL

BENJAMIN GUTMAN
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Justice Building
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, Oregon 97301-4096
Telephone: (503) 378-6002

July 20, 2026

Lonnie Glinski, SID #6124844
Two Rivers Correctional Institution
82911 Beach Access Road
Umatilla, Oregon 97882

Re: Petition for Public Records Disclosure Order
Oregon Department of Corrections
DOJ File No.: 291200-GA0125-26

Dear Mr. Glinski:

This letter is the Attorney General's response to your petition for disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Law, ORS 192.311 to 192.478. Your petition raises several issues concerning Oregon Department of Corrections' (ODOC) handling of your records request. For the reasons explained below, we respectfully deny your petition.

You assert that the correspondence between you and ODOC regarding your records request improperly disclosed your trust account balance in violation of certain administrative rules. The Public Records Law does not authorize the Attorney General to adjudicate alleged privacy violations. Because this issue falls outside the scope of our authority under the statute, we must dismiss this portion of your petition.

You also challenge ODOC's refusal to provide multiple copies of the same record. Under ORS 192.324(1), a public body must provide a copy of a public record if it is capable of being copied, or otherwise provide a reasonable opportunity to inspect or copy the record. A public body's response is complete when it provides access to or copies of all nonexempt requested records. ORS 192.329(2)(a). The Public Records Law does not require a public body to furnish more than one copy of the same record. In this case, ODOC satisfied its statutory obligation by providing you with a copy of the record you requested. Accordingly, this portion of your petition is denied.

///

///

///

Finally, you challenge the timeliness of ODOC's response and request that the Attorney General impose a $200 penalty and waive processing fees. You argue that ODOC issued two invoices for its fee estimate, causing unnecessary delay. The correspondence shows that you submitted your request on May 29, 2026. ODOC issued a fee estimate on June 1, and on June 3 provided an amended estimate correcting an error in the original calculation. A public body must respond to a records request "as soon as practicable and without unreasonable delay." ORS 192.329(1). We do not find that ODOC's issuance of a corrected fee estimate created an unreasonable delay. For this reason, we respectfully deny this portion of your petition including your request for a penalty and fee waiver.

Sincerely,

BENJAMIN GUTMAN
Deputy Attorney General

DEP:pjn
c via e-mail only: Toby Tooley, DOC